

OFFICE OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

CITY HALL 10300 TORRE AVENUE • CUPERTINO, CA 95014-3255 (408) 777-3308 • FAX (408) 777-3333 • <u>planning@cupertino.org</u>

CITY COUNCIL INFORMATIONAL MEMORANDUM

- Date: February 13, 2025
- To: Cupertino City Council
- From: Piu Ghosh, Planning Manager Luke Connolly, Assistant Director of Community Development Benjamin Fu, Director of Community Development
- CC: Chad Mosely, Director of Public Works Pamela Wu, City Manager Chris Jensen, City Attorney

Re: Parkland Dedication fees

Background

At the September 4, 2024, City Council meeting, Councilmember Chao requested an informational memorandum regarding:

- 1. The amount of parkland impact fees the City currently has; and
- 2. The amount of parkland impact fees generated by:
 - a. Previously entitled projects
 - b. Pending projects
 - c. Units planned in the Housing Element

This memorandum summarizes this information.

Summary

The City imposes fees on new development under the Quimby Act to support the construction of park and recreational improvements. Under the Quimby Act, parkland dedication fees can be used "only for the purpose of developing new or rehabilitating existing neighborhood or community park or recreational facilities." (Gov. Code, § 66477(a)(3).) Cupertino Municipal Code section 13.08.100(B) provides that parkland dedication fees are due "[a]t the time of building permit issuance or recordation of a final subdivision map, whichever is earliest." If a project approved by the City expires or does not apply for building permits, the City does not receive these fees.

The Park Dedication Fund began Fiscal Year (FY) 2024-25 with an opening fund balance of \$19,046,081, as reported in the FY 2023-24 Annual Comprehensive Financial Report. Accounting for budgeted revenues of \$478,000 and expenditures of \$5,940,456, the available balance to date is \$13,583,625.

Parkland dedication fees are based on the density of development with the fee decreasing with an increase in density per Chapter 13.08, Parkland Dedication, of the Municipal Code. Residential development projects that involve the demolition of existing units receive a credit for the units that were demolished, the fee applies only to additional units that are developed. Additionally, in-lieu of parkland dedication fees are waived for affordable units. Finally, if a density bonus for units is requested pursuant to Density Bonus law, additional in-lieu of parkland dedication fees would apply to the bonus units. Therefore, the projections provided in this memo may need to be revised based on actual project metrics at the time of building permit issuance.

Tables 1 and 2 below indicate the projected in-lieu-of parkland dedication fees for					
the projects that have been previously entitled by the City and pending projects					
respectively.					

Table 1: Projected In-lieu of Parkland Dedication Fees for Entitled Projects							
Project	Unit Count	Density	Parkland Fee	Notes			
Name		(du/ac)	estimate				
Bianchi	7 total, 6 market			Project has parkland credit			
Townhomes	rate, 1 affordable	20.36	20.36	\$84,000	for existing units. Building		
				permits under review.			
Vallco	2,669 total, 1,779			Parkland will be dedicated			
	market rate, 890	47.25	47.25 \$0	\$0	per Municipal Code Chapter		
	affordable			13.08.			
Marina	arina 206 total, 170 market 40.39 \$9,180,000 rate, 36 affordable 40.39 \$9,180,000	¢0 180 000	No building permits				
		40.39	40.39 \$9,180,000	submitted.			
Hamptons	943 total, 872 market			Fee set by Development			
	rate, 71 affordable	75	¢11 597 069	Agreement due to expire in			
		75	75 \$11,587,968	2026. No building permits			
				submitted.			
Coach House	34 units, 29 market	20.23	¢1 566 000	No building permits			
	rate, 5 affordable	20.23	\$1,566,000	submitted. Property for sale			
Total	3,859 units, 2,856		\$22,417,968				
	market rate, 1,003						
	affordable						

Table 2: Projected In-lieu of Parkland Dedication Fees for Pending Projects							
Project Name	Unit Count	Density (du/ac)	Parkland Fee estimate	Notes			
Vista Heights	35 units, 28 market rate, 7 affordable	< 5	\$2,940,000	Builder's Remedy Project			
Scofield condos	20 units, 16 market rate, 4 affordable	58	\$864,000	Builder's Remedy Project. Credit for existing unit.			
Summer Hill Staples*	59 units, 47 market rate, 12 affordable	20.3	\$2,538,000	SB 330			
Toll Brothers United Furniture*	55 units, 44 market rate, 11 affordable	20.22	\$2,376,000	SB 330			
Stevens Creek Office Center*	122 units, 98 market rate, 24 affordable	17.6	\$5,880,000	SB 330			
McClellan Road Townhomes	27 units, 23 market rate, 4 affordable	27	\$1,242,000	Housing Element site. Credit for existing unit.			
Dividend Homes* (20085 & 20111 SCB)	57 units, 46 market rate, 11 affordable	21.9	\$2,430,000	Builder's Remedy			
Evulich Ct	51 units, 41 market rate, 10 affordable	20.2	\$1,794,000	Housing Element site. Credit for existing units.			
11841 Upland Wy	6 units, 4 market rate, 2 affordable	4	\$420,000	Builder's Remedy			
Total	432 units, 346 market rate, 86 affordable		\$20,484,000				

* No decisions made regarding whether the City will accept a parkland in-lieu of fee or a parkland dedication.

The Housing Element anticipates the development of 3,237 new units (not including previously entitled units). If all projects complied with the City's Below-Market-Rate (BMR) program, 20% of the units would be affordable and eligible to receive waivers for in-lieu of parkland dedication fees. Therefore, 2,590 units would be required to pay in-lieu of parkland dedication fees. Since most development would occur in the 20+ du/ac density range, the development of these units would potentially bring in **\$140,030,400** in in-lieu of parkland dedication fees at the currently established fee.

It should be noted that the City adopted Strategy HE-2.3.9 and HE-3.3.5 in the 6th Cyle Housing Element update as follows "to ensure that impact fees are not a constraint on the development of housing, the City will • Review and revise impact

fees by researching surrounding jurisdictions to determine other possible fee structures, grant funding opportunities and similar funding sources, review of average persons per unit at higher densities of development and will consider: » Alternatives, such as privately owned, publicly accessible (POPA) areas, or allowing parkland credit for pedestrian connections and trails....." and "The City will review and revise its Park Land Ordinance to reduce any potential constraints on residential development while maintaining access to quality open space. The City will review requirements for higher-density projects and evaluate the possibility of open space credits." Should the City consider lowering fees for developments at higher densities, the projected in-lieu of parkland dedication fees may need to be revised.